

A novel treadmill protocol for exercise testing in children: the British Columbia Children's Hospital protocol

D Kathryn Duff,^{1,2} Astrid M De Souza,³ Derek G Human,³ James E Potts,^{3,4} Kevin C Harris^{3,4}

To cite: Duff DK, De Souza AM, Human DG, *et al.* A novel treadmill protocol for exercise testing in children: the British Columbia Children's Hospital protocol. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2017;**3**:e000197. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2016-000197

Accepted 13 March 2017

ABSTRACT

Background Exercise testing in children is widely recommended for a number of clinical and prescriptive reasons. Many institutions continue to use the Bruce protocol for treadmill testing; however, with its incremental changes in speed and grade, it has challenges for practical application in children. We have developed a novel institutional protocol (British Columbia Children's Hospital (BCCH)), which may have better utility in paediatric populations.

Aim To determine if our institutional protocol yields similar peak responses in minute ventilation (VE), oxygen consumption (VO₂), carbon dioxide production (VCO₂), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), metabolic equivalents (METs) and heart rate (HR) when compared with the traditional Bruce protocol.

Methods On two different occasions, 70 children (boys=33; girls=37) aged 10–18 years completed an exercise test on a treadmill using each of the protocols. During each test, metabolic gas exchange parameters were measured. HR was monitored continuously during exercise using an HR monitor.

Results Physiological variables were similar between the two protocols (median (IQR); r_s): VE (L/min) (BCCH=96.7 (72.0–110.2); Bruce=99.2 (75.6–120.0); r_s=0.95), peak VO₂ (mL/min) (BCCH=2897 (2342–3807); Bruce=2901 (2427–3654); r_s=0.94) and METs (BCCH=16.2 (14.8–17.7); Bruce=16.4 (14.7–17.9); r_s=0.89). RERs were similar (BCCH=1.00 (0.96–1.02); Bruce=1.03 (0.99–1.07); r_s=0.48). Total exercise time (in seconds) was longer for the BCCH protocol: BCCH=915 (829–1005); Bruce=810 (750–919); r_s=0.67.

Conclusion The BCCH protocol produces similar peak exercise responses to the Bruce protocol and provides an alternative for clinical exercise testing in children.

INTRODUCTION

Clinical exercise testing is an important component in the assessment of the heart and lungs in response to metabolic stress. The purpose of an exercise test is to assess exercise tolerance, evaluate the safety of exercise for that patient, monitor the effects of a specific intervention (eg, physical training or invasive procedures such as catheterisation or surgery, changes in drug

What are the new findings?

- ▶ This article presents a novel institutional protocol designed to provide metabolic values including peak oxygen consumption for treadmill testing in healthy children.
- ▶ Our study challenges the limitations of a traditional protocol that is widely used.
- ▶ The current findings establish a validated, alternate protocol that can be used for pediatric clinical exercise testing, exercise prescription and research studies.

therapy) or assist in guiding exercise prescription.^{1–3}

Exercise tests can be conducted using a variety of exercise-specific modalities (arm or leg ergometers) or upright treadmills for walking, running or wheeling. Treadmill testing has the advantage of extensive clinical use and is a well-established mode of exercise testing in both paediatric and adult patients with cardiac disease.^{4 5} In addition, it yields the highest heart rate (HR) and oxygen consumption (VO₂) due to upright posture and larger exercising muscle mass compared with other modalities such as cycle ergometers.⁶ As such, children who are ambulatory can be effectively tested by treadmill exercise.

There are a variety of treadmill protocols that are used in both children and adults. These protocols differ in their methodological characteristics, such as stage duration, speed and grade increment (eg, Balke, Astrand, Bruce, Ellestad, Naughton protocols),³ which can impact test performance. Classical protocols using grade variations to adjust exercise intensity during tests are still commonly used despite having limitations for the practical application of laboratory information.⁷

Traditionally, paediatric centres that use treadmill testing have used the Bruce



CrossMark

¹Department of Sport Science, Douglas College, New Westminster, British Columbia, Canada

²School of Kinesiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

³Children's Heart Centre, British Columbia Children's Hospital, Vancouver, Canada

⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

Correspondence to

Kevin C Harris; kharris2@cw.bc.ca

protocol, a protocol originally designed for diagnosing coronary artery disease in middle-aged men.⁸ Exercise testing in children presents its own unique challenges largely due to their small body size in relation to the testing equipment and less compliant nature to the exhaustive and monotonous exercise protocol. Especially challenging are very young children and those with chronic illness who are either unfamiliar with exercising to fatigue or unable to keep up with large changes in workload. Although the Bruce protocol has been validated in children,^{9–10} normative values for metabolic data are limited^{9–11} and data across age ranges¹⁰ often only provides peak exercise times or HR.^{9–11} When functional capacity or aerobic endurance is not able to be assessed due to low fitness or disease state, clinicians and researchers tend to develop prediction models based on submaximal workload¹² or make protocol modifications to achieve peak values (modified Bruce)^{13–14} leading to a wide heterogeneity in exercise protocols used. This makes it difficult to determine a reliable set of reference values for children¹⁵ and reflects the overall challenge in using the Bruce protocol for many paediatric clinics performing exercise testing.

The main criticism of the Bruce protocol is that it has biomechanical limitations due to its large stepwise increases between stages. For children, the work increments between successive stages may be too great, resulting in the tendency for participants to quit prematurely during the first few seconds of a new stage¹; thereby, underestimating their aerobic capacity. The long 3 min stages may lead to boredom and, regardless of fitness of the individual, the steep grade may lead to premature peripheral fatigue, ending tests without achieving maximal performance values. This has implications for subsequent exercise prescription where HR or energy expenditure (metabolic equivalents (METs) or VO_2) reserves, or perceived exertion might be used to describe intensity.²

$\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ is widely recognised as the best single index of aerobic fitness and is commonly determined during a clinical exercise test.³ The traditional paradigm assumes that VO_2 rises linearly with increasing exercise intensity up to a critical point beyond which VO_2 plateaus, even though the participant is still able to increase his or her exercise intensity. Continued exercise beyond the plateau of oxygen consumption ($\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$) is supported by anaerobic energy sources and inevitably results in volitional fatigue. A plateau in VO_2 is often used as a criterion to determine if a maximal exercise test was achieved. Few children demonstrate a plateau in their VO_2 during exercise to volitional fatigue; consequently, peak VO_2 is used as a surrogate. In children, some or all of the following criteria are indicative of a peak VO_2 test and accepted as synonymous with $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$: (1) subjective appearance of exhaustion (eg, facial flushing, sweating, unsteady gait); (2) an HR that has levelled off prior to the final

exercise intensity or has reached a value near or above 90% of their predicted maximal HR and (3) a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) of at least 1.0.¹⁶

We have developed a novel protocol for measuring peak VO_2 that has an initial speed and grade of 2.0 miles per hour (mph) and 1%, respectively, with the speed increasing by 0.5 mph every minute until the participant reaches volitional fatigue. With only a speed adjustment, we believe it resolves some of the limitations of the Bruce protocol and may allow for a more refined exercise prescription. The purpose of this study is to determine if our institutional protocol produces similar peak cardiorespiratory values to the Bruce protocol.

METHODOLOGY

Study sample

Seventy healthy boys and girls from the local community were recruited for this study divided into three age groups (10–12 years, 13–15 years and 16–18 years). All participants were involved in extracurricular sports. Ethical approval to conduct this study was received from the University of British Columbia Children's and Women's Health Centre of British Columbia Clinical Research Ethics Boards. Once participants were informed of the procedure, written informed consent and assent was obtained from each subject.

Testing protocol

All testing was completed in the Exercise Physiology Lab in the Heart Centre at British Columbia Children's Hospital (BCH), Vancouver, Canada. Study participants were required to come to our lab on two separate occasions to complete two exercise protocols. There was a minimum of 48 hours and a maximum of 2 weeks between testing sessions. Using the Bruce protocol, the speed and grade of the treadmill simultaneously increased every 3 min until volitional fatigue.⁹ With the BCH protocol, the incline stayed at a constant 1% grade starting at a speed of 2.0 mph, increasing by 0.5 mph every minute until volitional fatigue (table 1).

Prior to the first test, subjects were randomly assigned a test sequence to control for an order-effect bias. Body mass was measured at each visit to the nearest 0.1 kg. Standing and sitting height were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the first visit. Sitting height was used to predict age at peak height velocity (APHV) to assess biological maturity.¹⁷ Body surface area (m^2) and body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2) were calculated. BMI was converted to age-specific percentile reference values using Centre for Disease Control and Prevention growth charts.¹⁸

Peak VO_2 test

Metabolic gas exchange parameters (minute ventilation, VE ; VO_2 , carbon dioxide production, VCO_2 and RER) were calculated by open-circuit spirometry using

Table 1 Methodological characteristics of British Columbia Children's Hospital (BCCH) protocol versus Bruce protocol

BCCH protocol				Bruce protocol			
Stage	Cumulative time (min)	Grade (%)	Speed (mph)	Stage	Cumulative time (min)	Grade (%)	Speed (mph)
1	1	1	2.0	1	1	10	1.7
2	2	1	2.5	1	2	10	1.7
3	3	1	3.0	1	3	10	1.7
4	4	1	3.5	2	4	12	2.5
5	5	1	4.0	2	5	12	2.5
6	6	1	4.5	2	6	12	2.5
7	7	1	5.0	3	7	14	3.4
8	8	1	5.5	3	8	14	3.4
9	9	1	6.0	3	9	14	3.4
10	10	1	6.5	4	10	16	4.2
11	11	1	7.0	4	11	16	4.2
12	12	1	7.5	4	12	16	4.2
13	13	1	8.0	5	13	18	5.0
14	14	1	8.5	5	14	18	5.0
15	15	1	9.0	5	15	18	5.0
16	16	1	9.5	6	16	20	5.5
17	17	1	10.0	6	17	20	5.5
18	18	1	10.5	6	18	20	5.5
19	19	1	11.0	7	19	22	6.0
20	20	1	11.5	7	20	22	6.0
21	21	1	12.0	7	21	22	6.0

mph, miles per hour.

a metabolic cart (Moxus Metabolic Cart; AEI Technologies, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA). The metabolic cart was calibrated prior to each test being conducted using two concentrations of calibration gas (20.9% O₂, 0.03% CO₂, balance N₂ and 15.0% O₂, 5.0% CO₂, balance N₂). Calibration of the turbine flow metre of the volume sensor was performed with a standard 3L syringe (5570 Series; Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, Missouri, USA). Gas-exchange was measured

continuously during each test using a breath-by-breath method and averaged over 15 s intervals. VE, tidal volume (VT), breathing frequency (FB) and ventilatory equivalents for VO₂ (VE/VO₂) and VCO₂ (VE/VCO₂) during the exercise test were recorded. HR was monitored continuously during exercise using an E600 Polar Heart Monitor transmitter/receiver pair (Polar Electro Canada, Lachine, Quebec, Canada). Study participants wore a small flexible rubber mouthpiece in their mouth. The mouthpiece was attached to a one-way, non-rebreathing valve (Style 2700B; Hans Rudolph, Shawnee, Kansas, USA) that was connected to the metabolic cart. Peak VO₂ (L/min, mL/min/kg), VT (mL/breath), b (breaths/min), VE (L/min), VO₂ (L/min, mL/min/kg), VCO₂ (L/min, mL/min/kg) and RER were measured, and METS were calculated by dividing peak VO₂ by 3.5. The speed and grade of the treadmill were adjusted according to the protocol used (table 1). Participants were provided with verbal encouragement to achieve volitional fatigue and discouraged from holding handrails during the test. Criteria for completion of a valid peak VO₂ test included two of the

Table 2 Participant characteristics

Variable (n=70)	Median (IQR)
Age (years)	14.4 (12.2–16.2)
Height (cm)	164.4 (154.7–174.0)
Body mass (kg)	53.8 (44.0–62.6)
BSA (m ²)	1.57 (1.42–1.76)
BMI (kg/m ²)	19.4 (18.1–21.5)

BMI, body mass index; BSA, body surface area.

Table 3 Age at peak height velocity (APHV)

Age group (years)	Sample size (n), N=70	No of observations	APHV (median, range)	Time to APHV (median, range)
Girls (10–12)	10	10	11.7 (10.7–12.5)	0.15 (–0.6–0.6)
Girls (13–15)	17	17	12.5 (12.0–13.3)	2.3 (0.9–2.3)
Girls (16–18)	10	5	12.8 (12.5–13.8)	3.7 (3.1–4.0)
Boys (10–12)	12	1	–	–
Boys (13–15)	12	12	13.6 (12.5–14.8)	0.75 (–0.8–2.5)
Boys (16–18)	9	9	14.4 (12.9–15.1)	3.1 (2.2–3.7)

following three criteria: (1) an HR ≥ 195 beats per minute; (2) an RER ≥ 1.0 and (3) volitional fatigue. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure (sBP and dBP) was taken at rest and immediately postexercise. BP was obtained from the right arm by auscultation where diastolic pressure was defined by muffling of the Korotkoff sounds (phase V unless the dBP was heard to zero then phase IV was used).

Statistical analysis

Sample size (Gpower, Version 3.1)¹⁹ was determined a priori, $\alpha=0.05$, $\beta=0.80$, using a correlation coefficient $r=0.84$ (based on our preliminary, unpublished work in 10-year-old boys comparing peak VO_2 using each protocol) yielding a sample size of 9. Since we wanted to develop comparison data for various age groups and sexes, we chose 10 participants for each of three age groups for both males and females; 10–12 years; 13–15 years; 16–18 years, with the goal to recruit 60 study participants in total. The distribution of variables was assessed using visual inspection (histogram) and a Shapiro-Wilk test for normality. Non-parametric data were analysed and expressed as median (IQR) and Spearman's rank correlations (r_s) generated for key variables: peak exercise HR, sBP and dBP (at rest and immediately postexercise), VE, VO_2 (absolute, relative

and predicted), RER (VCO_2 L/min/ VO_2 L/min), total exercise time (s) and estimated energy expenditure (METS). Differences between protocol medians were compared using a Wilcoxon matched pairs signed-ranks test. All tests were two-sided and significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were completed using IBM SPSS statistical software version 23.0. To investigate the agreement between the two protocols, we performed a Bland-Altman analysis (SigmaPlot software version 13; Systat Software, San Jose, California, USA). Plots were generated showing the bias between mean differences and limits of agreement (LOA).

RESULTS

Participant characteristics ($n=70$, median age 14.4 years) are shown in table 2. We were able to predict maturation from sitting height for $n=55$ children. Age at peak height velocity (APHV) and time to APHV are shown in table 3. All participants were considered healthy in terms of percentile cut-points for BMI.

VE, relative and absolute VO_2 and METS were strongly correlated, all $r_s > 0.80$ (table 4). Furthermore, a Bland-Altman analysis showed a high LOA between the two protocols (figure 1; table 4).

The median peak exercise stage achieved on BCCH was 15 (13–16) with a peak speed of 9.0 mph (8.0–9.5) (or 4.0

Table 4 Metabolic data

Variable (n=70)	BCCH protocol (median \pm IQR)	Bruce protocol (median \pm IQR)	r_s	p-Value	Mean bias (LOA)
VO_2 (mL/min)	2897 (2342–3807)	2901 (2427–3654)	0.94	0.022	34.6* (–269 to 338)
VO_2 (mL/min/kg)	56.8 (51.7–61.8)	57.4 (51.3–62.6)	0.99	0.043	0.7 (–5.3 to 6.7)
VE (L/min)	96.7 (72.0–110.2)	99.2 (75.6–120.0)	0.95	<0.001	3.0 (–14.1 to 10.0)
Total exercise time (s)	915 (829–1005)	810 (750–919)	0.67	NS	–63 (–296 to 169)
Peak heart rate (bpm)	196 (191–202)	195 (189–200)	0.78	0.041	–1* (–11 to 9)
RER	1.00 (0.96–1.02)	1.03 (0.99–1.07)	0.48	<0.001	0.05 (–0.07 to 0.16)
METS	16.2 (14.8–17.7)	16.4 (14.7–17.9)	0.89	<0.001	0.2* (–1.3 to 1.6)

*Indicates graphed in figure 1.

BCCH, British Columbia Children's Hospital; bpm, beats per minute; LOA, limits of agreements; METS, metabolic equivalents; RER, respiratory exchange ratio; VE, minute ventilation; VO_2 , peak oxygen consumption.




Figure 1 Bland-Altman analysis comparing two methods—British Columbia Children's Hospital (BCCH) versus Bruce.

(3.6–4.2)m/s). The median peak exercise stage achieved on the Bruce protocol was 4 (4–5) with a peak speed of 4.2 mph (4.2–5.0) (or 1.9 (1.9–2.2) m/s at peak). V_T (median 1809 mL/breath for BCCH and 1921 mL/breath for Bruce protocol; $r_s=0.93$; $p<0.001$) and FB (median 58 breaths per minute (bpm) on BCCH and 54 bpm for Bruce) ($r_s=0.78$; $p<0.001$) were similar between protocols. Eight participants did not achieve a maximal test during the BCCH and 9 did not achieve a maximal test during the Bruce based on the defined criteria. Group medians for relative peak VO_2 for BCCH and Bruce protocols by age and sex are shown in figure 2.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that our institutional BCCH protocol yields similar peak responses in VE, VO_2 , VCO_2 , RER, METS and HR when compared with the Bruce protocol. Our Bland-Altman plots show strong LOA and, as such, the BCCH protocol may serve as an alternative clinical exercise testing protocol in children.

The goal of peak effort exercise testing in children is to get an objective measure of a child's fitness. Although peak VO_2 during childhood and adolescence is well documented,^{20–22} other aspects of aerobic fitness during youth are less well-understood, such as the VO_2 kinetics at smaller incremental intensities, which inhibits our ability to get a true understanding of a child's ability to run and transport body mass to provoke adaptation to physical activity.

The BCCH protocol provides utility for both clinical and non-clinical exercise testing in children. Our protocol requires children to run at an earlier stage and at faster speeds. Generally, the transition from walking to running occurs at 4.5–5.0 mph or 6–7 min into the BCCH protocol in contrast to the Bruce protocol where a slow run may not occur until 9 min at stage 4 (4.2 mph; 16% grade) of the protocol. Running at an earlier stage and at higher speeds allows clinicians to evaluate symptoms such as chest pain, palpitations and/or dyspnoea that are often reported with running in school or during other sporting activities. In our experience, children often complain of increased feelings of fatigue and leg discomfort while running uphill, which may lead to early test termination during the Bruce protocol. The BCCH protocol may alleviate some of these complaints of peripheral fatigue as the grade is held constant at 1% for the duration of the test. The addition of the 1% grade in our protocol allows us to quantify work (product of weight (mass) of a person and vertical distance achieved by walking or running up an incline).

Sgherza *et al*²³ suggest that peak VO_2 test termination may be associated with perceived exertion, rather than by any physiological limitation.²³ Barker *et al*²² have shown that using secondary criteria such as RER, HR or effort to verify a maximal effort (peak VO_2) in young people during ramp cycling exercise may result in undervaluing a true maximum.²² Supramaximal




Figure 2 Age-sex patterns in peak VO_2 for each protocol—British Columbia Children’s Hospital (BCCH) and Bruce.

testing where the child is immediately retested at intensities greater than the one that had produced an exhaustive effort on the first test (generally, between 5% and 10% greater intensities are added) would need to have been performed to illustrate if a true maximal test was achieved.^{24 25} As the focus of this study was to determine if the metabolic responses were similar between the two testing protocols rather than to determine the true maximal value for VO_2 , we did not perform supramaximal testing.

For the exercise scientist, the BCCH protocol may be useful to evaluate the effects of training and rehabilitation programs and to determine target intensities for exercise prescription. Optimal exercise intensity domains for training prescription should be anchored to the ventilatory threshold, T_{VENT} (ie, moderate exercise (below T_{VENT}) or heavy exercise (above T_{VENT}));²² or alternately, at some percentage of HR reserve or METS.² The BCCH protocol provides an alternative to treadmill exercise at slow speeds and steep grades and is more complementary to normal physical activity. It is known that uphill running requires higher energy expenditure than level running.²⁶ It is possible that the Bruce protocol, which starts at a steeper grade (10% in Bruce compared with 1% in BCCH) may not characterise VO_2 kinetics for exercise transitions in regular activity prescription as participants may recruit their type II muscle fibres earlier resulting in greater anaerobic metabolism.²⁷ The BCCH protocol, with a similar ability to the Bruce protocol to determine peak performance, provides a strong relationship between work rate and gas-exchange making it easier to relate intensities for regular activity prescription.

Our findings on age–sex patterns in peak VO_2 when normalised for body weight are consistent with those

described by Armstrong *et al.*¹⁶ Armstrong states that while boys show an almost linear increase in peak VO_2 in relation to age, girls’ data demonstrate a similar but less consistent trend, with a progressive rise to age 13 and then a levelling off or slightly decreasing at around 14 years of age.¹⁶ Prepubertal boys’ peak VO_2 is higher than those of girls and the sex difference becomes more pronounced as children progress through adolescence.¹⁶ Our boys had lower peak VO_2 scores for the age group of 10–12 years than our girls of the same age group, likely because our boys were mostly 10-year olds and our girls were closer to 12 years of age. In the older age groups, the expected gender differences between boys and girls was observed with peak VO_2 declining through adolescence in girls.

Limitations

Our participants were healthy, physically active children who were involved in sports outside of school and our findings may not be representative of a true ‘normal’ population. Without a change in grade, we found that healthy, physically active children tend to run slightly longer to yield the same peak performance parameters from the BCCH protocol compared with the Bruce protocol. It is unclear if this would hold true in less fit healthy children or in clinical populations (such as children with congenital heart disease). We specifically chose a physically active group to ensure consistency in performance. As such, we did not test younger age groups (<10 years) due to difficulties in getting consistent performances with metabolic testing on two different occasions.

Our RER values may be slightly lower at peak exercise than those previously reported. We suspect that this may be related to the flow turbine that was used in our metabolic cart system. Given that the same equipment was used for both tests, we believe that our finding that the two protocols produce similar responses is valid. Future investigation using supramaximal testing would allow us to determine if a true peak VO_2 was achieved during both protocols.

CONCLUSION

The BCCH and Bruce treadmill exercise protocols yield similar exercise responses in children aged 10–18 years. The BCCH protocol provides an alternative testing protocol for use in children and may have better utility for testing children.

Acknowledgements We thank our study participants for volunteering their time to complete the study. The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly and without fabrication, falsification or inappropriate data manipulation.

Funding This study was supported by funding from the Douglas College Research and Scholarly Activity Fund Research Incentive Grant.

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval University of British Columbia Children's and Women's Health Centre of British Columbia Clinical Research Ethics Board.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. Hebestreit H. Exercise testing in children – what works, what doesn't, and where to go? *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2004 ;5 :11–14.
2. Riebe D. General principles of exercise prescription In: Pescatello LS. *ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. 9th edn: Baltimore, MD: Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Health, 2014:162–79.
3. Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, et al. Clinical exercise testing. *Principles of exercise testing and interpretation: including pathophysiology and clinical applications*. 5th edn. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2012:129–53.
4. Sagiv MS. Exercise physiology. In: *Exercise cardiopulmonary function in cardiac patients*. London: Springer Science & Business Media, 2012:25–8.
5. Stephens P, Paridon SM. Exercise testing in pediatrics. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2004;51:1569–87.
6. Paridon SM, Alpert BS, Boas SR, et al; American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, Committee on Atherosclerosis, Hypertension, and Obesity in Youth. Clinical stress testing in the pediatric age group: a statement from the American heart association council on cardiovascular disease in the young, committee on atherosclerosis, hypertension, and obesity in youth. *Circulation* 2006;113:1905–20.
7. Myers J, Bellin D. Ramp exercise protocols for clinical and cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *Sports Med* 2000;30:23–9.
8. Bruce RA, Blackman JR, Jones JW. Exercising testing in adult normal participants and cardiac patients. *Pediatrics* 1963;32:742–56.
9. Cumming GR, Everatt D, Hastman L. Bruce treadmill test in children: normal values in a clinic population. *Am J Cardiol* 1978;41:69–75.
10. van der Cammen-van Zijp MH, Ijsselstijn H, Takken T, et al. Exercise testing of pre-school children using the bruce treadmill protocol: new reference values. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010;108:393–9.
11. Wessel HU, Strasburger JF, Mitchell BM. New standards for the Bruce treadmill protocol in children and adolescents. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2001;13:392–401.
12. Marshall MR, Coe DP, Pivarnik JM. Development of a prediction model to predict VO₂(peak) in adolescent girls using the Bruce protocol to exhaustion. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 2014;85:251–6.
13. Handler CE, Sowton E. A comparison of the Naughton and modified Bruce treadmill exercise protocols in their ability to detect ischaemic abnormalities six weeks after myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 1984;5:752–5.
14. Okin PM, Ameisen O, Kligfield P. A modified treadmill exercise protocol for computer-assisted analysis of the ST segment/heart rate slope: methods and reproducibility. *J Electrocardiol* 1986;19:311–8.
15. Blais S, Berbari J, Counil FP, et al. A systematic review of reference values in pediatric cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2015;36:1553–64.
16. Armstrong N, van Mechelen W. Aerobic fitness. In: Armstrong N, van Mechelen W, eds. *Paediatric exercise science and medicine*. 2nd edn. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2008:269–82.
17. Mirwald RL, Baxter-Jones AD, Bailey DA, et al. An assessment of maturity from anthropometric measurements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002;34:689–94.
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *CDC growth charts [Online]*, 2000. <http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/Default.htm>
19. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, et al. G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods* 2007;39:175–91.
20. Armstrong N, Welsman JR. Assessment and interpretation of aerobic fitness in children and adolescents. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 1994;22:435–76.
21. Armstrong N, Barker AR. Oxygen uptake kinetics in children and adolescents: a review. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2009;21:130–47.
22. Barker AR, Williams CA, Jones AM, et al. Establishing maximal oxygen uptake in young people during a ramp cycle test to exhaustion. *Br J Sports Med* 2011;45:498–503.
23. Sgherza AL, Axen K, Fain R, et al. Effect of naloxone on perceived exertion and exercise capacity during maximal cycle ergometry. *J Appl Physiol* 2002;93:2023–8.
24. Armstrong N, Welsman J, Winsley R. Is peak VO₂ a maximal index of children's aerobic fitness? *Int J Sports Med* 1996;17:356–9.
25. Rowland TW. Medicine and science in sports and exercise: does peak VO₂ reflect VO₂max in children? Evidence from supramaximal testing. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1993;25:689.
26. Cavagna GA, Saibene FP, Margaria R. Mechanical work in running. *J Appl Physiol* 1964;19:249–56.
27. Olesen HL. Accumulated oxygen deficit increases with inclination of uphill running. *J Appl Physiol* 1992;73:1130–4.